

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<p>Appln. No.: 10/804,790</p> <p>Applicant: Masaaki Oka</p> <p>Filed: March 19, 2004</p> <p>Title: SYSTEM FOR ASSIGNING TASKS ACCORDING TO THE MAGNITUDE OF THE LOAD OF INFORMATION PROCESSING REQUESTED (as amended)</p> <p>Examiner: TRUONG, CAMQUY</p> <p>Art Unit: 2195</p> <p>Customer No.: 22242</p> <p>Confirm. No.: 8890</p>	<p><u>Certificate of Transmission/Mailing</u></p> <p>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, transmitted via the Office electronic filing system, or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below:</p> <p><u>8/10/2010</u> <u>/Richard E. Wawrzyniak/</u> Date Richard E. Wawrzyniak Registration No. 36,048 Attorney for Applicant(s)</p>
--	---

**WRITTEN STATEMENT REGARDING SUBSTANCE OF THE
JULY 27, 2010, INTERVIEW/TELEPHONE CALL**

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed May 12, 2010, and the Applicant Initiated Interview/Telephone Call conducted on July 27, 2010, Applicant provides the following.

**WRITTEN STATEMENT PER 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b) REGARDING
SUBSTANCE OF JULY 27, 2010, APPLICANT
INITIATED TELEPHONE INTERVIEW WITH THE EXAMINER**

Applicant's representative appreciates the opportunity to discuss the issue of the PTO's receipt of the Certified Copy of the Priority Document in this application with Examiner Camquy Truong in the telephone conversation on July 27, 2010. In accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.113(b), and the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 713.04, Applicant provides the following written statement of the substance of the interview/telephone call.

The interview/telephone call was initiated by the Applicant and was purely administrative in nature. Those participating in the phone conversation were Examiner Truong and attorney Shirin Tefagh (for inquiring into administrative matters on behalf of attorney of record Richard Wawrzyniak). No exhibits were shown or discussed. No claims or prior art was discussed. The following is a brief summary of the phone conversation.

The PTO's receipt of the Certified Copy of the Priority Document in the present Application was discussed during the conversation. Namely, Applicant's representative pointed out that the Notice of Allowance mailed on August 5, 2010, did not confirm that the PTO had received the Certified Copy of the Priority Document, which had been mailed to the PTO on April 5, 2004. The Examiner pointed out that an acknowledgment of such priority had been made in the Bibliographic Data Sheet. The Examiner, upon discussing the issue with her supervisor, then agreed to provide Applicant with a PTO-90C communication, stating that the PTO received the Certified Copy of the Priority Document, and that all conditions have been met and Applicant's claim to priority has been perfected. Accordingly, a communication to this effect along with an Examiner Interview Summary was mailed by the PTO on August 5, 2010.

No substantive issues were discussed. The telephone call was purely administrative in nature to simply ask the Examiner to provide written confirmation that the PTO received the Certified Copy of the Priority Document.

CONCLUSION

Should there remain any outstanding issues, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned at (858) 552-1311 so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

Address all correspondence to:
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY
120 So. LaSalle Street, Ste. 1600
Chicago, IL 60603

Direct telephone inquiries to:
Richard E. Wawrzyniak
(858) 552-1311
San Diego, California Office of
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY

Respectfully submitted,

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY

/Richard E. Wawrzyniak/
Richard E. Wawrzyniak
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 36,048

Date: August 10, 2010